Application No. Applicant(s) 09/931,685 TANAKA, YASUHIRO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Stephen E. Jones 2817 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Pete Med ley (1) Stephen E. Jones. (2) Christopher Bennett, 46710 Date of Interview: 02 August 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: /-8+10 Identification of prior art discussed: AAPA Fig. 1t, Kubita of record, Marusawa et al. (Us. + JP)

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments to overcome the prior art rejections.

Agreement with respect to the claims fi was reached. g) was not reached. h) X N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, it required